

### Code Book : Issue input file

This file contains all responses of the interviews with respect to specific issues; the level of analysis is actor-issue dyad. It is based on question 1, 2, 4, 5, 25, 26, 27, 32 & 33 of the [INTEREURO questionnaire](#).

Variable name	Description	Values	Comments
Prop_ID	Proposal ID	1-535	Organically related cases are combined with a comma. Example: ID 19,137  Note that other dataset use other proposal-IDs; check codebook carefully.
Prop_Name	Proposal name	STRING	
Org	Organization Name	STRING	<u>NOTE</u> : for reasons of privacy, confidentiality and anonymity we cannot release this data. For more information contact the authors of this study.
ID	ID organization	Numeric XXXXX	<u>NOTE</u> : for reasons of privacy, confidentiality and anonymity we cannot release this data. For more information contact the authors of this study.
Telephone?	Was the interview conducted via telephone?	0 = NO 1 = YES	Take into account that the questionnaire used for a telephone interview differs from face-to-face interview.
Type	Organization Type	STRING Business NGO Labour union Professional Firm	

Level	Level of mobilization of the organized interest	STRING National EU level International	
Issue_ID	Unique numeric identifier for each issue		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- first part of ID is equivalent with the proposal ID</li> <li>- issue was identified in EC or EP interview: ID_001.</li> <li>- issue added by IG: ID_011.</li> <li>- In the case of an organically related case: we can identify the origin (EC &amp; EP or otherwise) on the basis of the second part of the issue_id</li> <li>- in the case of an organically related case, but added by IG: we use the lowest case proposal-ID first: so 088_011 and NOT 211_011.</li> </ul>
Issue_Name	Unique string identifier for each issue	XXXX_XXXX_XXXX	- first part XXX is the prop_name second and third part XXX_XXX are issue specific
Issue?	Was the mentioned issue also identified/confirmed by the respondent as an issue?	0 = NO 1 = YES 99 = Missing	
From_The_Beginning?	Was this an issue from the beginning of the legislative process (tabling by EC)?	0 = Later 1 = Beginning 99 = Missing	
Importance	How important was this issue compared to other	1 = MORE 2 = EQUALLY	

	issues that you are familiar with?	3 = LESS 4 = Missing	
Resources	How much resources were used on this issue compared to other issues that you are familiar with?	1= MORE 2 = EQUALLY 3 = LESS 99 = Missing	
Aimed_At	For each issues separate, were your activities aimed at:	1 = Supporting the Commission 2 = Shaping specific parts of the proposal, not blocking it 3 = Shaping most of the proposal, not blocking it 4 = Blocking the whole proposal 99 = Missing	
Outcome	How does the outcome compare to your initial preferences?	1= Identical 2= Close To 3= A Long Way 4= The Opposite 5= DK 99 = missing	
Influence	How would you rate your influence compared to your opponents?	1 = More influence than opponents 2 = Roughly the same influence as opponents 3 = Less influence than opponents 4 = Don't know 5 = There were no	

		opponents 99 = missing	
Position_By	How was the common position established?	1 = Consensus among members 2 = Voting among the members 3 = No members were involved in this issue 4 = The organizational leadership 99 = missing 0 = not asked	
Difficult_To	How difficult was it to reach a common position?	1 = Very easy 2 = Easy 3 = difficult 4 = very difficult 99 = missing 0 = not asked	